

City of London: London Performance Dashboard: 2012-13 - Q1 Final

Risk and vulnerability	Value	Better performance →	Group Average
LIS 1: violence against the person crime rate per 1,000 population	0.42		4.90
Year to Date Apr 12 to Jun 12			
LIS 2: Robbery, dwelling burglary, and theft of/from a motor vehicle crime rate per 1,000 population	0.5		5.84
Year to Date Apr 12 to Jun 12			
LIS 3: Total notifiable crime rate per 1,000 population	5.5		24.96
Year to Date Apr 12 to Jun 12			
LIS 4: % of childrens core assessments completed within 35 days	n/a		75.73
Year to Date Apr 12 to Jun 12			
LIS 5: % of child protection cases reviewed within required timescales	n/a		#N/A
Year to Date Apr 12 to Jun 12			
LIS 6: % of children having a child protection plan for 2nd or subsequent time	n/a		9.21
Year to Date Apr 12 to Jun 12			
LIS 7: % of referrals to children's social care going on to initial assessment *	n/a		69.77
Year to Date Apr 12 to Jun 12			
LIS 8: % of vulnerable people supported to maintain independent living**	99.3		97.70
Full year Apr 11 to Mar 12			
LIS 9a: average length in days of processing HB/CT benefit new claims	18.0 (a)		23.29
Full year Apr 11 to Mar 12			
LIS 9b: average length in days of processing HB/CT benefit change of circumstance	7.0 (a)		9.77
Full year Apr 11 to Mar 12			

Improving life chances	Value	Better performance →	Group Average
LIS 10: % of pupils achieving level 4 or above in both English and Maths at KS2	93.0		77.45
Annual 2010-11			
LIS 11: % of LAC achieving 5 A*-C GCSEs (inc English and Maths)**	n/a		14.74
Annual 2010-11			
LIS 12: % of persons in school years 12-14 who are NEET	n/a		4.79
Year to Date Apr 12 to Jun 12			
LIS 13: % of social care clients receiving Self Directed Support	n/a		49.78
Year to Date Apr 12 to Jun 12			
LIS 14: % of carers receiving needs assessment or review	n/a		13.67
Year to Date Apr 12 to Jun 12			
LIS 15: % of care leavers in employment, education or training	n/a		55.11
Year to Date Apr 12 to Jun 12			
LIS 16: % of working age people on out of work benefits	3.2		11.90
Snapshot as at February 12			
LIS 17: number of households living in temporary accommodation	11		1094.55
Snapshot as at 30 Jun 12			
LIS 18: number of homeless applications accepted	17		346.55
Rolling year Jul 11 to Jun 12			



Quality of the environment	Value	Better performance →	Group Average
LIS 19: kg of residual waste per household	104		148
Year to Date Apr 12 to Jun 12			
LIS 20: % of household waste sent for reuse, recycling & composting	32.3		35.1
Year to Date Apr 12 to Jun 12			
LIS 21a: % of land that has unacceptable levels of litter	4.2		5.7
Most recent survey			
LIS 21b: % of land that has unacceptable levels of detritus	0.2		9.2
Most recent survey			
LIS 21c: % of land that has unacceptable levels of graffiti	0.3		4.4
Most recent survey			
LIS 21d: % of land that has unacceptable levels of fly posting	0.0		1.7
Most recent survey			

Interest to the public	Value	Better performance →	London Average
LIS 22: % of council tax collected	n/a		31.7
Year to Date Apr 12 to Jun 12			
LIS 23: % of non domestic rates collected	n/a		32.9
Year to Date Apr 12 to Jun 12			
LIS 24: average sickness days per FTE (2010-11 Q4 onwards excludes school staff)	7.7		7.8
Rolling year Jul 11 to Jun 12			
LIS 25a: % of minor applications determined within 8 weeks	80.8		68.4
Year to Date Apr 12 to Jun 12			
LIS 25b: % of 'other' planning applications determined within 8 weeks	73.3		77.6
Year to Date Apr 12 to Jun 12			

key
borough performance
average performance
national comparator

Guidance notes

a. The diamond represents performance for your chosen borough. To the right of the black bar represents better than average performance, to the left represents lower than average performance.

b. Lower than average performance does not necessarily imply poor performance, and vice versa.











c. ** This denotes there are fewer than 16 returns for that indicator.

d. Please note that the national comparator measure does not necessarily relate to the same time period as borough data. Please see 'explanations' for further information.

e. (a) this denotes that where the data for an indicator has been presourced by London Councils, the borough submitted their own data instead.










Risk and vulnerability

City of London



■ Borough value — London average
○ Relative performance quite below average ■ Relative performance quite above average
● Relative performance significantly below average ■ Relative performance significantly above average
↑ Arrow shows direction for better performance

All 2010-11 - Q1 values do not have traffic lights due to data quality issues









All 2010-11 - Q1 values do not have traffic lights due to data quality issues
 Definition of LIS 12 has changed from 16-to-18-year-olds to school years 12-14 as of 2012-13 Q1. Previous data relates to 16-to-18-year-olds. As such, the data before and after 2012-13 Q1 is not comparable.

- Borough value
- Relative performance quite below average
- Relative performance quite above average
- London average
- Relative performance significantly below average
- Relative performance significantly above average
- ↑ Arrow shows direction for better performance






Quality of the environment

City of London



Interest to the public

City of London



2010-11 - Q4 and onwards - data excludes school staff

- Borough value
- London average
- Relative performance quite below average
- Relative performance significantly below average
- Relative performance quite above average
- Relative performance significantly above average
- ↑ Arrow shows direction for better performance

All 2010-11 - Q1 values do not have traffic lights due to data quality issues